REMARKS

The last office action has been carefully considered.

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for granting Applicant's representative, Richard Klar, a telephonic interview on September 8, 2006. The Examiner agreed that the claim language proposed and now reflected as new claim 33 would be allowable over the cited prior art of record. Independent claim 32 recites similar subject matter for a system instead of the method of new independent claim 33. The claims remaining now depend, directly or indirectly, on new claims 32 and 33 and are thus believed to be allowable over the cited prior art of record.

Claims 27 and 29 have been canceled, mooting the 37 CFR 1.75 (c) objections.

Claims 28 and 30 have been canceled, mooting the 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection.

The specification has been amended to overcome the objection to the drawings regarding element 22.

In view of the amendments and remarks presented herein, and the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter, it is respectfully requested that the claims remaining in the present application be passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

TLESIC

Dated: September 22, 2006

By: _____

Richard B. Klar Registration No. 31,385

CUSTOMER NO. 01109

ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C. 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020-1182 (212) 278-1000

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this AMENDMENT is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on September 25,2006.

Audrey de Souza